

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,987	07/29/2003	Guy R. Wagner	10011275-2	9189
7:	590 05/11/2005		EXAM	INER
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			FLANIGAN, ALLEN J	
Legal Departme				
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599		•	3753	
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		D. TT. \ (. 11 ED. 06/11 P00)	_

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/629,987	WAGNER, GUY R.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Allen J. Flanigan	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  VTHS from the mailing date of this com  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	nis action is non-final.	÷					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/o	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTC	)-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National S	tage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)				
. Spor rocopinal Date	رة	<b></b> ⁺					

## Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a heat sink, classified in class 165, subclass 80.3.
- II. Claims 22-29, drawn to a method of making a heat sink, classified in class 29, subclass 890.03.
- III. Claims 30-34, drawn to a method of cooling an object, classified in class 361, subclass 697.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as one which lacks the "pressing" step of the claims (the fins could be engaged to the core by a thermal shrink fit step involving cooling and inserting the core, allowing it to subsequently expand into contact with the fin collars).

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be

Art Unit: 3753

shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of use, such as to add heat to the cold end of a thermoelectric cooler device (rather than cooling a heat generating component).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicant elect the product claims (invention I) above, the application is further subject to an election of species requirement as follows:

## **ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: There are three subspecies of heat sink base disclosed: one piece, two piece, or heat pipe. There are four shroud subspecies disclosed: No shroud, Fig. 9, Fig. 13, Fig. 16. Finally, there are five subspecies of heat sink fin designs: Figs. 1-10 (angled fins without compression rings), Figs. 10, 11 (angled fins mounted using compression rings), "ribbon" axially extending fins as shown in Figs. 12-13, extruded axial fins as described on page 19, and Fig. 14 (axially aligned, attached fins).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed subspecies of base design (one piece, two piece, or heat pipe), a single disclosed

Application/Control Number: 10/629,987

Art Unit: 3753

subspecies of shroud design (no shroud, Fig. 9, Fig. 13, or Fig. 16), and a single disclosed subspecies of heat sink fin configuration (Figs. 1-9, Figs. 10-11, ribbon, extruded, or Fig. 14) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4 appear to be fully generic to all subspecies.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,987 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen J. Flanigan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (571) 272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen J. Flanigan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753